MASTERS NOMENCLATURE: SUB-COMMITTEE REPORT

Members: John Strenkowski, Prema Arasu, William Deluca, Stacy Fair, Jeffery Braden, Duane Larick

There were 3 alternative identified by the sub-committee. Each has advantages but, each will likely cause some change/concern for existing programs. The options are listed below in order of most to least, relative to impact at the program level.

Option 1: Create 3 masters options, one that requires a thesis and two that do not
   a) Masters of Science (Education, Arts, Fine arts, etc.) – must have a committee thesis and oral exam
   b) Non-thesis Masters of (Program)
      a. Option A – requires committee and exam
      b. Option B – No committee, no exam required

Option 2: Same as option 1 with the exception that the Masters of Science (Education, etc.) can have the option of being non-thesis A or B (4 options total)
   a) Masters of Science (education, etc.)
      a. Option A – requires thesis, committee and exam
      b. Option B – non-thesis with no committee and no exam
   b) Masters of (Program)
      a. Option A – requires committee and exam
      b. Option B – no committee, no exam required

Option 3: Basically leave as it is today but change the nomenclature for Option B (5 options total)
   a) Masters of Science (Education, etc)
      b. Non-thesis option
         i. Option A – requires committee and exam
         ii. Option B – no committee and no exam required
   b) Master of (Program)
      i. Option A – requires committee and exam
      ii. Option B – no committee and no exam required