New LIFE Features

Lindsey McGowen, PhD
NCSU I/UCRC Evaluation Project
January 8, 2015
Overview

Problem
• IAB are being asked to rate their level of interest in projects they have already approved

• New proposals are sometimes presented at the same meeting with project updates, confusion over which is which

• Evaluator would like to collect Faculty PO data at the meeting

• PIs losing their work when they respond to multiple IAB comments at once

Solution
• Project phase specific response categories for new proposals vs project updates – optional

• Project phase labels – required

• Faculty PO survey link on PI response page – optional

• PI response option – now with one submit button per page; rating based navigation
New Meeting Options

- **Project Phase Ratings**: will provide project phase specific response options. For New Proposals, response categories reflect level of interest ratings.
  - Very Interested
  - Interested
  - Interested w/Change
  - Not Interested
  - Abstain

- For Project Updates, response categories reflect assessment of progress.
  - Great Progress
  - On Course
  - Needs Change
  - Off Course
  - Abstain

- **PI Faculty Survey**: allows you to select a version of the evaluator’s web-based faculty P/O questionnaire to be linked on the bottom of the project list presented to PI users.
New Project Phase Labeling

Use the drop down menu to select the appropriate project phase:
- New Proposal
- Project Update

The project phase label is required.

If you have selected the option to use project phase specific response categories, they will be based on the project phase you indicate here.
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I. Index of Projects

[Add Project]  
[PDF Paper Forms]  

[Review Meeting]  
[PDF Summary]  
[MS Word File]  
[Spreadsheet]  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Admin-Specified ID</th>
<th>Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example Project</td>
<td>Dr. Who</td>
<td>My University</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>[Delete Project] [Edit]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Project</td>
<td>Dr. Jekyll</td>
<td>Your University</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>[Delete Project] [Edit] [Review]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Designated member representative **(one per member)** please complete the Industry Process/Outcome Questionnaire
Level of Interest and Feedback Evaluation (LIFE) Form

New Proposal
Project Name: (1.1) Example Project
Project PI: Dr. Who (My University)

To facilitate a dialogue between Center Faculty and Member Organizations, each industry representative is asked to indicate his/her organization’s level of interest in each project.

Unless the individual organizing LIFE feedback has instructed you otherwise, your identifying information will be handled as follows: it will not be shared during public IAB feedback sessions; it will be shared with center director and faculty in order to facilitate follow-up on specific suggestions.

Level of Interest

- Very Interested
- Interested
- Interested with Change
- Not Interested

- Abstain (Outside my group’s ability to evaluate)

Comments? Please give your opinions about the progress since the last report, level of effort, offers to help and support, quality of research, scientific merit, suggested changes, pre-competitive applications, benefits to industry, and/or other comments here:

Note: This information will not be divulged during the review

Your Name:
Your Organization:

Submit Clear

Note: This information will not be divulged during the review

Your Name:
Your Organization:

Submit Clear

Questions? Comments? email isrc@mvue.edu
Copyright IIL @ UCF
Beta Site
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- Index of Projects
  - [Add Project]
  - [PDF Paper Forms]
  - [Review Meeting]
  - [PDF Summary]
  - [MS Word File]
  - [Spreadsheet]

---

**L.I.F.E.**

**LEVEL OF INTEREST AND FEEDBACK EVALUATION FORMS**

**Test 1/5/15 (Univ1) - January 5th, 2015**

**IAB Feedback**

1. **Index of Projects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Project Id</th>
<th>Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Example Project</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>[Evaluate Project] [Summary]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dr. Who (My University)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Update Sample Project | 1.2        | [Evaluate Project] [Summary] |
| - Dr. Jekyll (Your University) |

Designated member representative *(one per member)* please complete the **Industry Process/Outcome Questionnaire**
Review Meeting with Project phase specific response categories

- Projects grouped by phase
- Click on any project title to see comments
- Reminder: Review meeting functions from the Admin side identifies the names of IAB respondents. Use the review meeting function from the User side to access summaries with anonymous IAB comments. PI responses are always identified by name.
Test 1/5/15 - January 5th, 2015

New Proposal

Project Name: (1.1) Example Project

Project PI: Dr. Who (My University)

Level of Interest

- Very Interested - 1
- Interested - 1
- Interested with Change - 0
- Not Interested - 0
- Abstain - 0

Very Interested

- How will you handle the fluctuation in temperature associated with your testing method?

Response 1: We have built a testing chamber to control all environmental variables.

Interested

- I really like the inclusion of alloys as well as pure metals in the analyses.

Response 1: I’m sure we can accomplish that. -Dr. Jekyll
Response 2: It would be great if you can share more detail about your application of interest. -Mr. Hyde

Great progress

- Very impressed with the progress you’ve made on modeling the material properties.

Response 1: thanks, we appreciate the help you gave us with acquiring the samples. -Dr. Jekyll

On course

- You are making good progress. I would like to see more focus on application to the aviation industry.

Response 1: I’m sure we can accomplish that. -Dr. Jekyll
Response 2: It would be great if you can share more detail about your application of interest. -Mr. Hyde

Needs change
Faculty PO Link

If you selected the option to include a link to the Faculty PO survey, it will appear after the project list on the PI page.

Reminder: If you are using the web-surveys, contact NCSU to get your data.
Tweak to PI response navigation

IAB comments on a project are grouped by rating, 5 comments per page. For example, this page displays the first 5 comments for “Great Progress”.

You can advance to the next set of comments by entering responses to the comments displayed and then clicking “Submit Response”, or by clicking on the response category for which you would like to see and respond to comments.

- How will you address the perforation issue you’re having when you run the process?
  - Optional: Respond up to 500 Characters (83/500)
    - we have a plan to make the membrane stronger. Would be happy to discuss in detail.

- Can you adjust the wattage required by the sensor? It seems like it requires a high power load.
  - Optional: Respond up to 500 Characters (0/500)

- Very intrigued by this project. Can you provide more detail about the component parts used to create the sensor?
  - Optional: Respond up to 500 Characters (0/500)

- Excellent work!
  - Optional: Respond up to 500 Characters (0/500)

Name: Required
- Names will be visible by everyone. Submit Response
Questions?