

**National Science Foundation
Industry/University
Cooperative Research Centers**

FINAL

June 2002

2000-2001 Process Outcome Survey Results

Descriptive Statistics Compiled from Industry and Faculty Surveys

*B.M. Meagher and D.O. Gray
Department of Psychology
Psychology in the Public Interest Program
North Carolina State University*

Note: Please do not quote or cite without permission of the authors

CONTENTS

Overview

Report Processing & Data Issues	2
Response Rates	3
Long Industry Form Vs. Short Industry Form	3

Industry Results

Table 1: Interest in research	4
Table 2: Satisfaction with Research Program	4
Table 3: Follow-on Research	5
Table 4: Technical Benefits	5
Table 5: Other Benefits	6
Table 6: Center Administration and Operations	6
Table 7: General Evaluation	7
Table 8: Membership Information	7
Table 9: Member Descriptives	8

Industry Short Results

Table 1: Research Program	9
Table 2: Benefits	10
Table 3: Center Administration and Operations	11
Table 4: General Evaluation	11
Table 5: Membership Information	11
Table 6: Member Descriptives	12

Faculty Results

Table 1: Research Program	13
Table 2: Knowledge Production	13
Table 3: Investigator Outcomes	14
Table 4: Perceived Industry Benefits	14
Table 5: Satisfaction	15
Table 6: Faculty Descriptives	15

REPORT PROCESSING & DATA ISSUES

This report provides basic descriptive statistics on the evaluator Process Outcome Questionnaire. Data was collected during the fall of 2001 and refers to the center activity for FY 2000-2001.

Since most evaluators use this report to benchmark their center compared to a program-wide “norm”, we have reported “center-level” means and standard deviations. Means for each center were used to calculate a center-level mean and are therefore unweighted aggregate means. Because questions that require a numeric answer (e.g. number of dollars) often have highly skewed distributions, we also reported the medians for these variables. For forced choice questions, frequencies and standard deviations for individual respondents were also reported.

Question #6 on the Industrial Member survey (the number of new projects supported by industry and the dollar value of these projects) was reported in three ways. First, we reported the center-level mean, median, and standard deviation for all respondents. Second, we reported a center level mean, median, and standard deviation only for those who reported supporting at least one new project. Finally, an average cost per project was calculated from the figures obtained for those reporting at least one new project.

Data for the Industry Short Questionnaire is presented in the same format as the regular Industry Questionnaire. This questionnaire is for use with centers that have surpassed five years of funding (refer to Evaluator’s Notebook, section 3.2.1). Since both the industry long and industry short questionnaires share some of the same questions, data for these shared questions was pooled for analysis. These questions are marked in the report with a *.

RESPONSE RATES

Category	Center Level		Individual Level	
	Industry	Faculty	Industry	Faculty
<i>Response Frequency</i>				
Population ^a	50	50	745	499
Centers Excused from Evaluation ^{b,c}	13	13	186	111
Available Population	37	37	559	388
Centers who did not return P/O Data	15	15	183	87
Sample	22	22	376	301
Data Received	22	19	166	145
<i>Response Rates</i>				
Received / Population	44.00%	38.00%	22.28%	29.06%
Received / Available Population	59.46%	51.35%	29.70%	37.37%
Received / Sample	100.00%	86.36%	44.15%	48.17%

- a. Population was defined as centers that were at least 1 year old.
- b. One center did not have an evaluator.
- c. Centers were excused for reasons such as being in the last year of funding, the center was restructuring, and an alternative evaluation was used.

LONG INDUSTRY FORM VS. SHORT INDUSTRY FORM

	Long Form	Short Form
# of items	45	29
# of questions in common	20	20
# of unique questions	25	9
# of centers using form	10	12
Sample size	66	100