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Abstract

Learning is not a spectator sport! Yet, the majority of classroom time is spent lecturing. While traditional lecture might be useful for disseminating information, textbooks and web pages already do that. Why spend valuable class time telling students what the book says. Students need to be more engaged than listening and note taking allow! In-class questioning can be very effective at actively engaging students. This paper provides some background information about questioning, supplies some process suggestions for those wishing to enhance their use of questions, and provides some Computer Architecture specific examples of questions.

1. Introduction

For several years we have realized that traditional lecture is too passive and probably is not the best use of in-class time. Studies have shown that after 10-15 minutes of lecturing students essentially stop learning, but their attention-span clock is reset by interjecting activities to break up the lecture. (Stuart & Rutherford 1978) Additionally, Students retain only a small fraction of the material covered, attendance only has a marginal effect on performance, and learning via lecture is independent of the lecturer's quality. (Stuart & Rutherford 1978) The bottom line is that lecture is not very effective!

We accept as fundamental that it is desirable to have "engaged" students who "actively" process the content we attempt to teach them. Active learning (rather than passive memorization of content) should be the goal of instruction. Achieving active learning is, however, not necessarily easy. Our goal became to better understand the art and science of asking questions in class so that our students would learn more or better by being actively engaged in the content of our courses. At WCAE 2000, Fienup (2000) explored the use of active and group learning in Computer Architecture. This paper is an extension of that work by providing some background information about questioning, supplying some process suggestions for those wishing to enhance their use of questions, and providing some Computer Architecture specific examples of questions.

We discovered that there are a variety of goals that one might have when asking questions. The next part of the paper will discuss various goals for questions and other insights we gained from the literature and our conversations. The bulk of the paper will include exemplar questions and attendant goals. We hope they will be useful to readers who wish to include more questioning in their Computer Architecture teaching (and allow some to skip the step where you say "duh" and hit yourself on the forehead for not realizing that there is more to questioning for active learning than just blithely asking questions).
2. Background RE Questioning

We used several techniques for gathering information about questioning. We examined readily available literature, reflected on our prior experiences with questioning, and talked about our experiences. From these activities, we identified several goals of questioning in the Computer Science classroom:

- to have students practice a skill
- to grade student performance
- to provide students with practice on applying knowledge
- to motivate a topic
- to motivate students
- to gauge student understanding
- to engage students in active learning
- to develop students' meta-knowledge
- to regain/reset student attention spans

In examining the literature (e.g., Dantonio & Beisenherz, 2001, Chuska, 1995, Wasserman, 1992; Wilen, 1991), we encountered similar lists. For example, Wilen (1991) indicates that although the two major enduring purposes of teacher questions are to determine student understanding of basic facts associated with specific content and to have students apply facts using critical thinking skills, educators have suggested other related purposes:

- to stimulate student participation
- to conduct a review of materials previously read or studied
- to stimulate discussion of a topic, issue, or problem
- to involve students in creative thinking
- to diagnose students abilities
- to assess student progress
- to determine the extent to which student objectives have been achieved
- to arouse student interest
- to control student behavior
- to personalize subject matter to support student contributions in class (p. 8-9)

Both these lists can probably be condensed. They do, however, suggest rather strongly that a variety of goals may be achieved via questioning and that the questioning activity is not simple. Additionally, we also note that the results of questioning activity can probably be classified as recall of knowledge and application of knowledge (understanding).

From our perspective, recall of knowledge is important but probably does not constitute active learning (which is our goal). We might, however, legitimately use a recall question to achieve a goal such as assessing student knowledge and understanding, or as a motivational lead-in to stimulate student interest in or attention to upcoming topics.

The goal in which we are most interested is that of engaging students’ minds on the current lecture topic in a relatively restricted way. We see the role of in class questions to be one of initiating intellectual activity in student minds. In general, such activity might involve:

- practice of some specific intellectual activity, e.g., designing, testing, debugging, interpreting specifications, etc.
- applying specific knowledge
- having students examine their own knowledge and understanding

While we have approached this goal from the point of view of questioning, we assume we are not restricted to oral questions or even to questions. Asking students to engage in an intellectual activity can be construed as asking a question.

3. Process Suggestions

Obviously, we suggest that questioning (and other activity) be used to engage students more actively in the content of Computer Architecture. But that is not as simple as asking questions. It must be planned. The planning may need to involve a variety of issues and occur at various times and levels in a course.

Before the course begins, we recommend familiarizing yourself with the various goals and types of questions that can be asked and
considering the impact on course planning. For example, we believe that there are benefits to having small (4-5 students) groups working together on questions. Group formation can be left to students or dictated by the instructor. We prefer the latter. If the "better" students are spread throughout the groups, there is potentially a teacher per group. Weaker students are more likely to ask questions of their peers. Because students' mental contexts have more in common with students than the professor, the student "teacher" in the group may be in a better position to communicate effectively. We believe that the better students also benefit by trying to explain concepts to weaker students. Think about how much you learned about the material of a course the first time that you taught it.

You should also consider addressing your goals for the in-class questioning activity in your syllabus and, occasionally, in class. If students understand why you are asking so many questions and not just "telling" them what they are supposed to know, they may well participate more fully and learn more. You may also wish to incorporate some aspect of grading (e.g., class participation) to reflect your opinion of the importance of active learning. We would suggest about 10% of the course grade be based on in-class participation of the questions. We base this portion of their grade on student evaluations from peers within their in-class groups.

Before each class or unit, plan your questions. Questions should be used to enhance the learning of the most important topics of each class. Identify the most important content goals or ideas in the lesson. Then proceed to planning your lesson (and the questioning you will use in it). It is as important to consider what you are going to ask as it is to consider what you are going to tell. Do not treat your questions lightly. Consider the goal(s) you wish to achieve with each question. Think carefully about how students will respond to the question.

- Are they likely to just turn off and wait until the "real" classwork starts back up? If so, can you ask the question differently or do something in class that short-circuits that reaction?
- How much time is necessary for them to formulate a reasonable response?
- Is the question clear and unambiguous?
- Is the question too easy or difficult?
- Will students be adequately prepared when the question is asked?

Additionally, consider using non-oral questions. Placing questions on a transparency or handout will demonstrate that you consider them important. Doing so may also communicate to students that you expect them to spend some time on the question while at the same time encouraging you to wait until students have had time to process it. Many students have commented that revisiting questions asked in class an effective way to prepare for examinations since they focus on the important skills and concepts of the course.

What you do during class can affect the success of your plans. When you ask questions, allow students a chance to respond. If students don't respond, wait. If students still don't respond, wait! Eventually, they will respond (if not in today's class, then in tomorrow's). Also, after a student response, wait and think. We find that our first impulse is often less useful than we would have liked. Consider what the student might have been thinking and whether and how you might follow up on the response to enhance the learning of both that individual and other students. If nothing else, when you pause, the students will think you are taking the response seriously.

Be careful how you respond to student answers. You want to foster an atmosphere where students do not feel threatened by answering the questions. Even comments like "that's not quite on the mark, Bob" can be enough to make students hesitant to respond to questions. Since we tend to have groups answering a question, we might simply ask what another group thought.
However, it is important that the correct answer is identified as such.

Finally, it is important to spend time after class reflecting on what happened. (Schon, 1983) We often find this hard to do. But, it is necessary, we believe, in order to achieve success at changing our teaching behavior. The up-front planning is quite important, but will be mostly wasted if we do not take time to analyze how well the plans worked. In essence, the reflection assesses how well reality matched the plans and, if so, whether the desired outcomes were achieved. Did we actually follow our plans? If not, is that good or bad? Did the students behave or respond as anticipated? Does the planned questioning appear to achieve the desired results? If not, what other questioning or activity might be better? The goal of the reflection is to make us aware of what we do. We suggest a brief reflection time, perhaps keeping a journal or annotating the lesson plan. Of course this data will need to be fed back into the planning process of the next iteration of the course and indirectly for future lessons in the current and other courses.

4. Sample Computer Architecture Questions

In the discussion below, we provide some examples of questions or class activities. Along with the examples we provide some discussion of our intended goals and of the processes we experienced or expected with the questions. We do not limit ourselves to positive examples. It seems useful to supply some examples of not so good questions so that others might learn from our mistakes.

4.1 Knowledge Recall Questions

Knowledge recall questions are relatively easy to ask. Often, however, they do little to enhance instruction. The following questions are probably not particularly helpful, even though they exactly address what we want to know.

- What did you learn in this chapter?
- What are the main points in the reading?
- Do you have questions over the chapter/section?

A small set of quick-check kinds of questions, however, might be useful. They could provide examples of some types of test questions as well as a review of important points in the content. For example:
- What is a cache?
- What is the purpose of the (shift left logical) “SHL” assembly language instruction?
- What is an operating system?
- How is bus skew handled in the PCI protocol?

Even though these questions do have some utility, we are inclined to believe they should probably be subsumed into the next category of question in which skills are practiced.

4.2 Skill Demonstration Questions

Many relatively simple skills such as converting from a decimal number to binary, or using a newly introduced assembly language instruction are often just demonstrated by professors with the assumption that students have mastered the skill since they did not ask any questions about it. Worse yet, students might fool themselves into thinking they have mastered the skill too. Life would be much easier if we could learn to swim by watching someone swim. Demonstrations of even the simplest skills by the professor should be followed up by practice questions for the students. The development of skill requires practice, and feedback as to the correctness of practice. Some examples here are:
- Converting between base 10, 2, and 16.
- Addition of two binary numbers
- Trace the assembly language program containing the newly introduced (shift left logical) "SHL" to showing the resulting register values.
- Use the newly introduced (shift left logical) "SHL" assemble language instruction to calculate....
- Draw the timing diagram for the code segment on the given pipelined processor.
If the given cache is direct-mapped, what would be the format (tag bits, cache line bits, block offset bits) of the address?

What does the given assembly language code "do"? Similar in nature to tracing, this question requires students to abstract from code to a general statement of code purpose. Tracing is necessary for understanding a program and, we believe, skill at abstraction is necessary for coding skill to progress to design skill.

Using the given hit ratio and access times for the cache and memory, calculate the effective memory access time.

Other courses have similar examples of relatively low-level skills necessary for competence in the subject—various proof techniques in discrete structures, using syntax diagrams to see if a block of code is syntactically correct, and counting statements in algorithms.

4.3 Questions Drawing on Personal Experience

Questions asking students to draw on their past experiences can often be used instead of asking a more direct, but too complex or abstract, question. For example in Computer Architecture, when discussing immediate-addressing modes with respect to instruction-set-design issues, you might be tempted to ask the question: "How many bits should be used for an immediate operand?" It is more constructive to make the question more concrete by asking students to draw on past experiences by asking questions like the following:

- From your programming experience, what range of integer values would cover 90% of the constant integer values used in all the programs you have ever written?
- How many binary bits would you need to represent this range of values?

The sequence of questions focuses the discussion on the sought after answer.

Questions requiring students to examine their own knowledge and understanding can often be used to motivate a deeper understanding of a topic, but the instructor must be careful that the intended point is made by the activity. To motivate hardware support for operating systems in a Computer Architecture course, I often ask the following sequence of questions:

- What is an operating system (hardware/software, goals, functionality)?
- How does OS/hardware protect against a user program that is stuck in an infinite loop?

The first question motivates the students to think about operating systems and their role. They usually decide that an operating system is software used to provide services such as security, file access, printer access, etc. On the second question, students typically answer that the system allows users to break/interrupt a program after a while. Having good oral questions to follow up on student answers is important. Asking about "what happens in a batch system?" steers the discussion back toward the desired answer of a "CPU timer". Other times students respond to the second question with answers like "the operating system will be watching for infinite loops." The instructor might follow up with a question like, "In a single CPU system, how many programs can be executing at once?" If the students answer "one", then you might ask, "If the user program with the infinite loop is running, then how can the operating system (which we decided was a program) be running too?" This gets the discussion back to the need for the CPU-timer hardware support.

4.4 Questions to Create Cognitive Dissonance

An Earth Science colleague once told me that students in his crystallography course did not have preconceptions about the content in his course. He was wrong. Students may come to us with little knowledge and incorrect assumptions about word usage and meaning, but they will always have some preconceptions about our content. Often the preconceptions will be inaccurate and hard to replace. Identifying
and attempting to fix them and to short-circuit the establishment of new misconceptions are critical aspects of teaching. The strongest learning occurs when we are able to produce cognitive dissonance in student minds. We need this kind of learning to alter misconceptions—weaker techniques will not work. Additionally, it would be nice if we were able to generate such a mindset at will. Probably we cannot, but we can try.

The last example from the previous subsection is a good example of creating cognitive dissonance is student minds. By asking the question "If the user program with the infinite loop is running, then how can the operating system (which we decided was a program) be running too?"

Along the same lines, other questions that can create cognitive dissonance when teaching about hardware support for operating systems would be:

- Since a user's program needs to be allowed to perform disk I/O, how does the OS/hardware prevent a user program from accessing files of other user?
- Since a user program needs to be able to perform memory accesses, how does the OS/hardware prevent a user program from accessing (RAM) memory of other user programs or the OS?

4.5 Questions to Motivate a Topic

Before discussing a new topic it is often useful to ask a question related to the topic to get students curious. Alternatively, it is sometime useful to ask a question about a topic's prerequisite knowledge. This kind of question is an advance organizer and should serve to establish cognitive hooks into students' past experience. For example, before taking about parameter passing in assembly-language ask questions about how students view the run-time stack it their most familiar high-level language.

Clearly, our lists of questions are incomplete. Space concerns make that necessary. So too does our level of progress. Frankly, we have only begun the work necessary to become better questioners (and, thus, better teachers). Many more examples of Computer Architecture questions can be found on-line at Fienup (2001).

5. Conclusions

Our most significant insight is that asking good questions takes work. We had to (and may still need to) read about questioning and apply what we read to teaching Computer Architecture. Additionally, relatively significant planning is necessary. In essence, we need to plan for questions, much as we plan for lecture.

We are still convinced that doing the extra work pays off. We think student learning has improved, i.e., more students are learning more of the material at a level we think is good. Additionally, we believe the "extra" work in planning will lessen, and perhaps disappear. As we learn more and practice questioning (and planning for it), the time requirements will be less. Also, as questioning becomes a bigger part of our teaching, the planning of telling is replaced by planning for questioning.

Should you decide to include more questioning in your teaching, we have some advice beyond that of reading and planning. Reflect on your questioning behavior. Explicate your goals and plans before teaching. After teaching, reflect on how well you implemented your plans and on how well the questioning worked. Then introduce those conclusions into your future planning. (This may require some record keeping.) Finally, do not expect perfection. Like all other human endeavors, you will get better with practice, particularly with good (reflective) practice.
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